

"Research Study of River Information Services on the US Inland Waterway Network

4TH INTERIM REPORT

Issued by:

via donau - Oesterreichische Wasserstrassen-Gesellschaft mbH

Donau-City-Strasse 1
A-1210 Wien

Tel.: +43 504321 1615 Fax.: +43 504321 1050

Contact Person: Juergen Troegl (juergen.troegl@via-donau.org)

R&D number: 1405-EN-01D-U-N-S number: 301191081
NCAGE code: 3809N

 $O[[] : [c^aA_f : A_u^*] = BA_u^* - BA_a^* = C_a^* =$

For the attention of:

The ERDC-IRO Cooperative Agreement Manager (CAM)

Dr. Russel Harmon

Engineer Research and Development Center

International Research Office (ERDC-IRO)

86-88 Blenheim Crescent

West Ruislip

Middlesex, HA4 7HL

United Kingdom

U.S. Army International Technology Center -

Atlantic (USAITC-A)

Fiscal Office

POC: Sandra Gordon

86-88 Blenheim Crescent

West Ruislip

Middlesex, HA4 7HL

United Kingdom

Report Docume		Form Approved IB No. 0704-0188				
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated t maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collect including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headqu VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding at does not display a currently valid OMB control number.	tion of information. Send comments that terms services, Directorate for Information.	regarding this burden estimate of mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of th , 1215 Jefferson Davis l	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE			3. DATES COVE	RED		
MAR 2012	2. REPORT TYPE		01-05-2011	to 29-02-2012		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER		
Research Study of River Information S	Services on the US I	nland	5b. GRANT NUMBER			
Waterway Network		5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER				
6. AUTHOR(S)			5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
			5e. TASK NUMBER			
			5f. WORK UNIT	NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AI via donau? Oesterreichische Wasserst mbH,Donau-City-Strasse 1,A-1210 Wi	trassen-Gesellschaft		8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMBI	GORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		ONITOR'S REPORT		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution	ion unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT During the fourth reporting period the LOMA 2.0 system. The related activiti supplier as well as the coordination of management interface with the LOMA the supplier?s project manager and ge meeting with the system supplier has be	es comprised the ela user requirement w \$ 2.0 supplier requir neral lack of proper	boration of desigith important sta ed additional effo use of adequate	n documents keholders. Fu orts due to fro PM tools. A	together with the arther the project equent changes of common kick-off		
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		

c. THIS PAGE

unclassified

Same as

Report (SAR)

6

a. REPORT

unclassified

b. ABSTRACT

unclassified



Contents

1	Proje	ect at a glance	3
2		ract	
3	Tech	nical Status	4
	3.1	Activity 2, Definition of the user requirement and sources of resistance to technology adoption	r
	3.2	Activity 3, Cooperative development of a RIS/LOMA specification	2
	3.3	Activity 4, Cooperative evaluation of LOMA acceptance	Ę
	3.4	Status of collaborative activities	Ę
4	Busi	ness Status	5



1 PROJECT AT A GLANCE

Project Volume: USD 155,540.00

Project Start: July 6, 2010

Project End: September 27, 2012
Project Report: No. 4/5 (month 20)

Reporting Period: May. 1, 2011 – Feb. 29, 2012 (10 months)

Technical Status:

Current Invoice: USD 59,357.25 Outstanding costs: USD 46,929.07

Final Report: September 28, 2012 month 27

2 ABSTRACT

During the fourth reporting period the cooperation partners mainly dealt with the specification of the LOMA 2.0 system. The related activities comprised the elaboration of design documents together with the supplier as well as the coordination of user requirement with important stakeholders.

Further the project management interface with the LOMA 2.0 supplier required additional efforts due to frequent changes of the supplier's project manager and general lack of proper use of adequate PM tools.

A common kick-off meeting with the system supplier has been held in May 2011, followed by regular weekly web meetings.



3 TECHNICAL STATUS

The work done in the fourth reporting period concentrated on the activities 2, 3 and 4 according to contract attachment 5, article 1. The progress to date on research milestones, as well as the identified challenges/problems are reported in relation to the proposed activities.

3.1 Activity 2, Definition of the user requirement and sources of resistance to technology adoption

Besides regular contact with beta testers out of the group of lock operators, close contact was held with the IMTS working group.

Further contacts have been made with major stakeholders from the industry, namely ports and tow companies.

Highlight in the reporting period was the LOMA workshop held during the Smart Rivers '11 conference in New Orleans in September 2011.

A key element for the sustainability of the LOMA design was the continuous alignment of LOMA specifications with the Nation Wide AIS System (NAIS) of the US Coast Guard (USCG).

3.2 Activity 3, Cooperative development of a RIS/LOMA specification

The activity started in the current reporting period with a kick-off workshop with the system supplier held from May 10 to May 12, 2011 in Vicksburg MS. The goal of this workshop was to agree on the finalization of the LOMA 1.0 project and the detailed planning of the LOMA 2.0 project. Further the scope of LOMA 2.0 has been clarified in detail, based on the Scope of Work (SOW).

The next step was to reach a common agreement on the tools for the detailed design and development of LOMA 2.0.

A "Product Requirement Specification" (PRS) contained the initial high level translation of the functionalities described in the SOW into technical requirements. The high level requirements were finalized in the "Requirements Traceability Matrix" (RTM) which was used to make sure that no functionalities were lost from SOW to development. The RTM was finalized under intensive cooperation between USACE supported by via donau and the supplier in September 2011 in Baltimore.

Based on the PRS and RTM detailed "Project Requirements Documents" (PRDs) have been developed for more complex functionalities. 9 PRDs have been under review and discussion between USACE, supported by via donau and the supplier and were finalized end of February 2012.

To enhance the value of LOMA to tow captains, USACE and via donau created two draft AIS Application Specific Messages to transmit the current dam and lock status to onboard AIS units. The usability and the benefit of those messages will be evaluated during LOMA operations.

Major obstacles for a quick freeze of requirements were:

- Continuous involvement of beta users and incorporation of their feedback;
- Ongoing development of Application Specific Messages (ASMs) in the international field of e-Navigation;
- Additional efforts to make the system pass the USACE-IT DIACAP approval process and
- late identification of open issues by the supplier.



Besides the technical tasks carried out, lots of efforts were necessary on the project management interface to the supplier, as the planning and risk management of on supplier side was behind expectations.

3.3 Activity 4, Cooperative evaluation of LOMA acceptance

The Test Case Plan for LOMA 1.0 provided by the supplier has been reviewed by USACE and via donau and finally approved after several revisions.

Finally the LOMA 1.0 system has been declared as officially accepted in August 2011.

All open items from LOMA 1.0 have been tracked in an "Open Items List" and were incorporated into the LOMA 2.0 specification.

Based on the lessons learnt from LOMA 1.0 acceptance the first discussion on preparation of LOMA 2.0 acceptance procedures have started in February 2012.

3.4 Status of collaborative activities

A common kick-off workshop for LOMA 2.0 has been held in May 2011, followed by a workshop in September where the RTM document has been finalized.

During the following Smart Rivers conference in September 2011 common dissemination and involvement of various stakeholders has been successfully accomplished.

4 BUSINESS STATUS

The following tables provide an overview of the resources spent to date in comparison to the numbers given in the Agreement.

Phase	Project Month	Amount spent	Amount planned	Deviation	
1st Interim Report	4	19.210,54		-11.466,46	
2nd Interim Report	7	23.682,15	46.954,00	-23.271,85	
3rd Interim Report	10	6.360,99	22.677,00	-16.316,01	
4th Interim Report	20	59.357,25	47.232,00	12.125,25	
Final Report	27		8.000,00		
Total		108.610,93	155.540,00	-38.929,07	

current reporting period

Table 4-1: Resource overview



Phase	Project	Labor	International	Domestic	Indirect	Amount	Amount	Deviation
Filase	Month	Costs	Travel	Travel	Costs	spent	planned	Deviation
1st Interim Report	4	7.890,23	5.129,80	1.866,42	4.324,09	19.210,54	30.677,00	-11.466,46
2nd Interim Report	7	9.737,61	5.977,76	2.837,52	5.129,26	23.682,15	46.954,00	-23.271,85
3rd Interim Report	10	4.119,30	0,00	0,00	2.241,70	6.360,99	22.677,00	-16.316,01
4th Interim Report	20	28.206,80	11.017,72	5.150,05	14.982,68	59.357,25	47.232,00	12.125,25
Final Report	27						8.000,00	
Total		49.953,94	22.125,27	9.853,99	26.677,73	108.610,93	155.540,00	-38.929,07

current reporting period

Table 4-2: Detailed resource overview

GENERAL COST CATEGORY DESCRIPTION	TOTAL PROJECT COST PLANNED	TOTAL PROJECT COST SPENT	PER CENT SPENT	PER CENT PROJECT TIME
Direct Costs				
Labor Costs	70.176,00	49.953,94	71,18	74,07
International Travel Costs	26.950,00	22.125,27	82,10	74,07
Domestic Travel Costs	19.790,00	9.853,99	49,79	74,07
Indirect Costs	38.624,00	26.677,73	69,07	74,07
Total Costs	155.540,00	108.610,93	69,83	74,07

Table 4-3: Deviation of resources

As stated in the third progress report a significant amount of resources has been spent in the fourth reporting period due to the intensive work on specification of LOMA 2.0 requirements.

As by end of February 74 per cent of the contractual project time elapsed and approx. 70 per cent of the budget was spent. Upon request by USACE the contractual period was extended until September 27, 2012 without any changes in budget. Giving this potential project extension by 3 months the costs are perfectly in line with the new project time line.

Beside the timely extension of the project by three months there are no additional adjustment measures considered necessary.